Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

6.	Project Name: West For Project Sponsor: Jim Ro Sponsors Phone # 541- Sponsor's E-mail: jroper	per GPRA 471-6979		-	Sounty: <u>Josephine</u> 5. Date: <u>5/29/02</u>
8.	Project Location (attach pr	roject area map)			
	 a. 4th Field Watershed b. 5th Field Watershed c. Legal Location: Township 41 	Name and HU	C #(if kr		
	Township			_ Section(s)	
	Township	_ Range		_ Section(s)	
	TOWNSHIP			_ 50001011(5)	
	Township	_ Range		_ Section(s)	
	Township Township	_ Range _ Range		Section(s) Section(s)	
	Township Township Township	Range Range Range		Section(s) _ Section(s) _ Section(s)	<u> </u>
	Township Township Township	Range Range Range		Section(s) _ Section(s) _ Section(s)	<u> </u>
	Township Township	Range Range Range Range		Section(s) Section(s) Section(s)	

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives:

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): 117-03-07

Provide access to BLM and Josephine County lands. West Fork Illinois is a Coho salmon and Steelhead trout stream. Replacement of the existing railroad car with a designed and engineered bridge will provide a passage for BLM and County crews. This road also provides access to private owners in the area and would improve safety standards for all parties that use the crossing / bridge.

10. Project Description: (Provide concise description of project and attach map.)

Replace railroad car bridge that spans the West Fork of the Illinois River. The bridge site is located on private land and needs to be replaced to meet health and safety standards for BLM roads. The existing structure is failing: the log abutments are rotted and the structure is settling. The age of the structure is not know. The strength of structure is not know. The BLM's Oregon State Office recommends replacement of all railroad structures to meet Health and Safety requirements and standards. The West Fork Illinois is a Coho, steelhead and native cutthroat stream. Failure of the structure could impact fish.

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?					
	☐ Yes	☑ No If yes, then describe.			
12.	□ I □ I	es proposed project meet purposes of the Legislar Improves maintenance of existing infrastructure. [Sec. 26 Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest en Restores and improves land health. [Sec. 2(b)] Restores water quality. [Sec. 2(b)]	(b)]		
13.	2(b)(2)(Sec.2(t	Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] (C)] Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] b)(2)(E)] Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] b)(2)(F)] Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)] Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:	Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] Forest Health Improvement [Sec. Wildlife Habitat Restoration Control of Noxious Weeds		
14.	a. Too b. Too c. No d. Ess e. No	e of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcome tal Acres: tal Miles: 0 b. Structures: 1 timated People Reached (for environmental education projects): b. of Laborer Days: 75 her (specify):	50		
15.	Duration	n of Project and Estimated Completion Date [Sec. 2	203(b)(2)]:		
	75day	ys 6/15/03			
16.	Target S	Species Benefited (if applicable):			
	Coho Sal	lmon, Steelhead Trout and native Cut Throat Trout			

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? [Sec. 2(b)(3)]

Road provides access to BLM, Josephine County and private land. Road would be upgrade to safer standards.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] Identify benefits to communities?

Improves Coho Salmon habitat, improves public safety, provides access to approximately 1,000 acres of BLM land, 300 acres of Josephine County land and 320 acres of private land.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources?

Provides access to public land for management activities including restoration projects and fire protection.

	procedion.		
20.	Status of Project Planning		
	a. NEPA Complete: 🔲 Yes 🛛 No b. If	f No, give est. date of cor	mpletion: 2/1/02
	c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Not Applicable
	d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Not Applicable
	e. Survey & Manage Complete:	☐ Yes ☒ No	Not Applicable
	f. DSL/ODFW* Permits Obtained:	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Not Applicable
	g. DLS/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained:	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Not Applicable
	h. SHPO* Concurrence Received:	☐ Yes ☐ No	Not Applicable
	i. Project Design(s) Completed:	🛛 Yes 🔲 No	
21.	 DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Figure SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment 	ish and Wildlife, COE = Army	Corps of Engineers,
	⊠ Contract □	Federal Workforce	
	County Workforce	Volunteers	
	Other (specify):	-	
22.	Will the Project Generate Merchantable Material ☑ Yes □ No	ls? (Sec. 204(e)(3))	

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

a.	Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$1	107,800			
b.	Is this a multi-year funding request?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	If yes, then display by fiscal year
	c. FY02 Request: \$	f.	FY()5 Rec	quest: \$
	d. FY03 Request: \$	 g.	FY	06 Re	quest: \$
	e. FY04 Request: \$				

Item	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
Item	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	[Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Fullus
24. Field Work & Site Surveys		\$2500		\$2,500
25. NEPA & Sec.7 ESA Consultation		\$5000		\$5,000
26. Permit Acquisition		\$500		\$500
27. Project Design & Engineering		\$5,000		\$5,000
28. Contract Preparation	\$4,500			\$4,500
29. Contract Administration	\$7,500			\$7,500
30. Contract Cost		\$85,000		\$85,000
31. Workforce Cost				
32. Materials & Supplies				
33. Monitoring				
34. Other				
35. Project Subtotal	\$12,000	\$98,000		\$110,000
36. Indirect Costs (Overhead) (per		фо.000		Φο οοο
year for multiple year projects)	412 000	\$9,800		\$9,800
37. Total Cost Estimate	\$12,000	\$107,800	\$	\$119,800

38. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding in Column C. Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Title II Project Application Medford District Resource Advisory Committee

- 39. Monitoring Plan (Sec.203(b)(6)
 - a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Engineering and Fish Biologist will conduct condition surveys each year to determine ecological conditions.

b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item? Report will be filed with Contracting Officer who will track local employment.

This is not a youth employment for opportunity

c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from National Forest System lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec.204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?

Hauling of commodities are monitored by BLM through the O&C permit system. Timber hauled from the area by others is recorded through the permit system. Fees are charged and used to maintain the road and structure.

d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, Item 33)

Amount: \$300.00

